# Reevaluation of Tetrahydrophthalic Anhydride as an End Cap for Improved Oxidation Resistance in Addition Polyimides

## Mary Ann B. Meador,\*,† Aryeh A. Frimer,‡ and J. Christopher Johnston†

NASA Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio 44135, and Department of Chemistry, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan 52900, Israel

Received September 4, 2003

ABSTRACT: Several substituted 1,2,3,6-tetrahydrophthalic anhydride end caps, including the 3-phenyl, 3-methoxy, 3-trimethylsilyloxy, and 3,6-diphenyl analogues, were synthesized via the Diels—Alder condensation of the corresponding butadienes and maleic anhydride. These anhydrides, as well as the commercially available 3-hydro and 4-methyl analogues, were each ground up together with methylenedianiline in a 2:1 ratio and heated gradually from 204 to 371 °C, with the thermolysis followed by NMR. Generally speaking, a transformation via monoimide to bisimide was observed in the lower temperature range, followed by competition between cross-linking and aromatization. We believe that this competition produces a substantial percentage of aromatic product, with the concomitant lowering of the relative amount of cross-linking and is responsible for both improved thermooxidative stability of tetrahydrophthalic end-capped polyimides and their substantial frangibility. The thermolysis of the tetrahydrophthalimides under an inert atmosphere dramatically lowers the amount of aromatization; hence, the mechanism for aromatization is an oxidative one.

#### Introduction

Fiber-reinforced high-temperature polyimide matrix composites offer significant advantages in structural applications over other materials because of their low density and high specific strength. These composites are attractive for use in aerospace systems, e.g., aircraft engines, airframe, missiles, and rockets, where weight is critical. This weight reduction has substantial benefits in terms of fuel savings, increased passenger or cargo load, or increased speed and maneuverability. The durability and reliability of materials used in aerospace components is a critical concern. Among the materials requirements for these applications are a high glass-transition temperature,  $\it T_g$  (at least 25 °C higher than the intended use temperature), good high-temperature stability in a variety of environments, and good mechanical properties over a wide range of temperatures. In general, the stability and/or  $T_{\rm g}$  of most organic polymers limit their use, at best, to applications in which temperatures are not higher than 300 °C.

Addition-curing polyimides were investigated to improve the processability of condensation polyimides without adversely affecting their stability and high-temperature performance. The most noteworthy development is the polymerization of monomer reactant (PMR) family of polyimides, in particular PMR-15.¹ Figure 1 is the typical reaction scheme for the formation of these end-capped addition polyimides (PMR-15). For PMR-15, reinforcement fibers are impregnated with a solution of the dialkyl ester of 3,3′,4,4′-benzophenone-tetracarboxylic diacid diester (BTDE), methylenedianiline (MDA), and the monomethyl ester of 5-nor-bornene-2,3-dicarboxylic acid (nadic acid ester, NE) in a low boiling solvent, typically methanol or ethanol. In

**Figure 1.** Reaction scheme for the preparation of fully cross-linked PMR-15.

Fully Cross-linked PMR-15

the first step of this process, these monomers undergo imidization at temperatures around 200 °C to yield short-chain norbornene end-capped polyimide oligomers (formulated molecular weight = 1500 g/mol for PMR-15). At temperatures above 300 °C, these oligomers undergo a cross-linking reaction involving the norbornene end cap.<sup>2</sup>

Ironically, however, it is this very end cap, so important to processing, that accounts for much of the weight loss in the polymer on aging in air at elevated temperatures (>315 °C). Thus, the end cap limits the use of PMR polyimides to lower temperature parts of the engine and/or shorter lifetimes. Researchers have tried to improve the stability by a variety of means, but most prominently by utilizing structures with more aromatic

<sup>†</sup> NASA Glenn Research Center.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Ethel and David Resnick Chair in Active Oxygen Chemistry, Bar-Ilan University.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Fax 219-977-7132; e-mail maryann.meador@nasa.gov.

**Figure 2.** End-cap degradation pathways ( $\star$  = labeled carbons).

character, e.g., Cycap, V-cap, PEPA, acetylene-terminated imides (ATI), benzocyclobutenes, and biphenylenes. However, each modification resulted in changes in the nature of the cross-linking, undesirable changes in processability and/or mechanical behavior, lower  $T_{\rm g}$ , and, at times, sizable increases in cost (e.g., Cycap).

Over the past decade, we have been exploring various aspects of this thermooxidative degradation in the hope of gleaning clues on how to design new end caps which will slow down this degradation and prolong the use lifetime of polyimide materials. Several years ago, we reported a number of studies on the thermooxidative aging of a modification of PMR-15, in which we  $^{13}\text{C-labeled}$  the methyne carbon  $\alpha$  to the carbonyl groups.  $^{4-6}$  On the basis of this work, we concluded that this oxidation proceeds through two primary pathways (Figure 2).

Path A degradation proceeds through initial opening of the norbornyl ring to form a biradical which undergoes attack of oxygen to form a 2-hydroxy-substituted maleimide 2. Path B degradation proceeds through oxidation of the bridging methylene of the norbornene moieties followed by carbon monoxide extrusion. Aromatization of the intermediate biradical leads to substituted quinone 3 or phthalimide 4 and related secondary degradation products.

Path A oxidation products like 2 are cleavage products that are most likely formed concomitant with large amounts of weight loss in the polymer system. In contrast, structures like 3 and 4 from path B are formed with very little weight loss. Therefore, new end-cap structures that more strongly favor path B degradation should lead to lower weight loss in addition polyimides and result in less shrinkage and cracking in the oxidation layer.<sup>4</sup>

Recently, we reported on our design of new end caps that might favor path B degradation. The proposed to utilize structures like  $\bf 5$  where X is more labile than the C-7 methylene of the nadic end cap or is easily oxidized to a more labile group under aging conditions. In particular, we studied the 7-hydroxy analogue  $\bf 6$  (i.e.,  $\bf 5$ , X = CHOH). We provided evidence that, in the airaging polymer, the hydroxy-bearing carbon oxidizes to carbonyl and should, therefore, more highly favor path B degradation. Indeed, the solid NMR spectral changes observed are all consistent with rapid conversion of the aliphatic cross-link to an aromatic one via path B or bridge degradation.

We now report our studies on 1,2,3,6-tetrahydrophthalimide 7 (R = R' = R'' = H), an end cap that contains no X group at all. This structure was investi-

gated by TRW and NASA in the 1980s and found to yield composites with TOS values *better* than PMR-15, but which were quite frangible. St. Clair and St. Clair<sup>10</sup> tried 7 as an end cap for polyimide adhesives. However, they reported that no cross-linking occurred in this system until 415 °C, as evidenced by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). If the cross-linking temperature could be lowered —either by substitution on the ring or by catalysis—this might be a viable replacement for the norbornene end cap. Indeed, onset of decomposition for polymers made with this was shown to be at a higher temperature than for the norbornenyl end cap.

### **Experimental Section**

Solution NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian 400 and Bruker 200 and 300 MHz Fourier transform spectrometers, using TMS as the internal standard. Assignments were facilitated by double resonance, APT, Hetcor, COSY, and NOESY experiments. The carbon numbering of the various compounds used in the spectral assignments is shown in eqs 1 and 2. Infrared absorptions were determined with a Nicolet 510P FTIR. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were run on a VG-Fison AutoSpecE high-resolution spectrometer. The following TA Instruments machines were used for standard thermal analyses: Q 1000 Reversible DSC; 2910 DSC; 2940 TMA; 2950 Hi-Res TGA. The TGA/MS/IR system used was comprised of a TA Instruments 2950 TGA whose effluent gas was split, one arm leading via a heated (ca. 240 °C) transfer line to a Nicolet Nexus 470 FTIR, while the other arm led via a heated (ca. 240 °C) deactivated capillary to a Finnigan Polaris Q mass spectrometer. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using Merck silica gel microcards. Maleic anhydride (Aldrich), cis-1,2,3,6-tetrahydrophthalic anhydride (10a, Aldrich), and 4-methyl-cis-1,2,3,6tetrahydrophthalic anhydride (10e, TCI-EP) are commercially available and were used as supplied. Compounds 10b,11 10c,12 and 10f13 are known in the literature. Nevertheless, the 13C spectral data are unreported, while the <sup>1</sup>H NMR data are missing, inaccurate or of relatively low resolution; hence, these are cited below where appropriate.

**3-Phenyl-cis-1,2,3,6-tetrahydrophthalic Anhydride (10b).** The title compound was prepared by refluxing equimolar amounts of *trans*-1-phenyl-1,3-butadiene<sup>14</sup> **(8b,** 10.9 g, 84 mmol) and maleic anhydride (8.2 g, 84 mmol) in toluene (225 mL) for 16 h under nitrogen. The orange solution was placed in the freezer overnight to give a yellow-brown precipitate. The latter was filtered and air-dried to give **10b** as a light yellow powder (14.8 g, 65 mmol, 77% yield); mp 119.2–120.8 °C. The mother liquor was evaporated, and the residue was recrystallized from ether to give an additional 2 g (total yield 87%); mp 116.8–117.8. The product was purified by treating it with charcoal and recrystallizing from boiling ethyl acetate/hexane. Colorless product (13 g, 57 mmol; 68% overall yield) was obtained; mp 121.5–122.5 °C (lit. <sup>11</sup> 120–121 °C).

**10b.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.37–7.24 (m, 3H, H<sub>p</sub> and H<sub>m</sub>), 7.21–7.17 (m, 2H, H<sub>o</sub>), 6.222 (m, 1H, H<sub>4</sub>), 6.149 (m, 1H, H<sub>5</sub>), 3.803 (m, 1H, H<sub>3</sub>), 3.545 (dd, J= 10 and 7 Hz, 1H, H<sub>2</sub>), 3.453 (td, J= 10 and 2 Hz, 1H, H<sub>1</sub>), 2.896 (dm, J= 18 Hz, 1H, H<sub>6</sub>-cis to Ph), 2.426 (m, 1H, H<sub>6</sub>-trans to Ph). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  173.766 (C<sub>7</sub>), 170.699 (C<sub>8</sub>), 137.321 ( $C_{ipso}$ ), 129.729 (C<sub>4</sub>), 128.742 (C<sub>m</sub>), 128.659 (C<sub>o</sub>), 127.907 (C<sub>5</sub>), 127.596 (C<sub>p</sub>), 45.822 (C<sub>2</sub>),

40.144 (C<sub>3</sub>), 39.051 (C<sub>1</sub>) 22.335 (C<sub>6</sub>). IR (KBr): 1845, 1778, and 1708 (anhydride), 1658 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=C). HRMS (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>): calcd (C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, M<sup>+</sup>) 228.0786; obsd 228.0780.

3-Methoxy-cis-1,2,3,6-tetrahydrophthalic Anhydride (10c).12 The title compound was prepared by dissolving equimolar amounts of trans-1-methoxy-1,3-butadiene (8c, Aldrich, 5.0 g, 59 mmol) and maleic anhydride (5.8 g, 59 mmol) in acetonitrile (100 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h under nitrogen. The solvent was rotary evaporated and the resulting light yellow liquid (10.6 g; 58 mmol; 98% yield) solidified upon standing. The latter was gradually dissolved in 10 portions of hot ether (50-75 mL each), and the resulting solution concentrated down to 150 mL, at which time crystallization began to give the desired product (9.0 g, 48 mmol, 83% yield); mp 96.0-97.5 °C (lit. 12 94-96 °C).

**10c.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  6.14 (second order "inverted triplet", 2H,  $H_4$  and  $H_5$ ), 7 4.23 (m, 1H,  $H_3$ ), 3.335 (td, J = 10and 4 Hz, 1H,  $H_1$ ), 3.225 (dd, J = 10 and 6 Hz, 1H,  $H_2$ ), 3.26 (s, 3H, MeO), 2.71 (dd, J = 16 and 6 Hz, 1H, H<sub>6</sub>), 2.67–2.46 (m, 1H,  $H_{6}$ ). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  174.14 (C<sub>7</sub>), 171.15 (C<sub>8</sub>), 131.86 (C<sub>4</sub>), 126.58 (C<sub>5</sub>), 70.12 (C<sub>3</sub>), 56.58 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 45.77 (C<sub>1</sub>), 36.48 (C<sub>2</sub>), 21.54 (C<sub>6</sub>).

3-Trimethylsilyloxy-cis-1,2,3,6-tetrahydrophthalic Anhydride (10d). The title compound was prepared by dissolving equimolar amounts of 1-trimethylsilyloxy-1,3-butadiene (8d, mixture of cis and trans isomers, Aldrich, 8.54 g, 60 mmol) and maleic anhydride (5.88 g, 60 mmol) in acetonitrile (100 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h under nitrogen. The solvent was rotary evaporated to give a light yellow liquid (14 g; 58 mmol; 97% yield). The product was identified on the basis of its spectral data, which compared favorably with those of the known 3-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-6-methyl analogue. 15 Only one set of absorptions were observed in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR, though two sets could be detected in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data. On the basis of peak heights, the two components, presumably **10d** (*cis*) and **10d**' (trans), are present in an approximate ratio of 95:5, respectively.

**10d.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  6.012 (ddd, J = 10, 5, and 2 Hz, 1H, H<sub>4</sub>), 5.925 (ddd, J = 10, 6, and 3 Hz, 1H, H<sub>5</sub>), 4.534 (dd, J= 5 and 4 Hz, 1H, H<sub>3</sub>), 3.295 (td, J = 10 and 5 Hz, 1H, H<sub>1</sub>), 3.065 (dd, J = 10 and 4 Hz, 1H,  $H_2$ ), 2.638 (ddt, J = 18, 5, and 2.5 Hz, 1H, H<sub>6</sub>), 2.442 (ddd, J = 18, 10, and 5 Hz, 1H, H<sub>6</sub>), -0.019 (s, 9, Me<sub>3</sub>Si). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  174.45 (C<sub>7</sub>), 171.61 (C<sub>8</sub>), 130.19 (C<sub>4</sub>), 128.27 (C<sub>5</sub>), 62.59 (C<sub>3</sub>), 47.22 (C<sub>1</sub>), 35.98 (C<sub>2</sub>), 20.74 (C<sub>6</sub>), -0.22 (Me<sub>3</sub>Si). IR (KBr): 1867, 1779, and 1711 (anhydride), 1658 (C=C), 1252 (Si-C), 1056 and 846 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Si-O-C). HRMS (EI): calcd ( $C_{10}H_{13}O_4Si$ ,  $M^+$ -CH<sub>3</sub>) 225.0583;

**10d'.** <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  174.14 (C<sub>7</sub>), 172.10 (C<sub>8</sub>), 130.96  $(C_4)$ , 129.08  $(C_5)$ , 62.81  $(C_3)$ , 45.95  $(C_1)$ , 36.88  $(C_2)$ , 21.69  $(C_6)$ , 1.91 (Me<sub>3</sub>Si).

3,6-Diphenyl-cis-1,2,3,6-tetrahydrophthalic Anhydride (10f). Although the literature<sup>13</sup> suggests preparing 10f in a Parr bomb, we found that this is unnecessary. The title compound was prepared instead by refluxing equimolar amounts of trans, trans-1,4-diphenyl-1,3-butadiene (8f, Aldrich, 8.25 g, 40 mmol) and maleic anhydride (4 g, 40 mmol) in p-xylene (100 mL) for 48 h under nitrogen. On cooling a white solid formed, which was filtered, washed with ether, and airdried to give 10f (10 g, 33 mmol, 82% yield); mp 205-209 °C. Further recrystallization from chloroform yielded purified product (8.2 g, 27 mmol, 67% overall yield); mp 215 $^-$ 217 °C (lit.  $^{13}$  208 $^-$ 209 °C).

**10f.**<sup>13</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.47–7.33 (m, 10H, aryl), 6.558 (s, 2H, H<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>5</sub>), 3.846 (bd, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, H<sub>3</sub>, and H<sub>6</sub> double resonance studies reveal that the broadening stems from small couplings with the vinyl and aromatic hydrogens), 3.740 (dd, J = 4.8 and 2.4 Hz, 2H, H<sub>1</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  169.69 (C<sub>7</sub> and C<sub>8</sub>), 137.85 (C<sub>1pso</sub>), 132.05 (C<sub>4</sub> and  $C_5$ ), 128.72 ( $C_m$ ), 128.63 ( $C_o$ ), 127.67 ( $C_p$ ), 47.46 ( $C_3$  and  $C_6$ ), 41.18 (C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>). IR (KBr): 1849, 1812, and 1773 (anhydride), 1656 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=C). HRMS (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>): calcd (C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, M<sup>+</sup>) 304.1099; obsd 304.1070.

Preparation of Bisimides 12, 15, 17, and 21. Anhydride (10, phthalic anhydride or succinic anhydride 22) and diamine (16a or b) in a 2:1 molar ratio were ground up together and heated at 204 °C (400 °F) for 1 h. As determined by NMR, in nearly each case, the primary product at this stage was the desired bisimide. However, cyclohexene bisimide 12 was accompanied by a small amount (generally <2%) of the intermediate monoimide 11 (see eq 1). (The spectrum of the latter is quite distinctive because of its AA'XX' pattern of the H-10' and H-11' aromatic protons at ca. 6.6 and 7.0 ppm, respectively.) However, in the case of **10b** and **10f**, the ratio of monoimide:bisimide (11:12) was 2:1 and 3:1, respectively; bisimide 12 was the primary product when the reaction mixture was heated further at 315 °C for 0.5 h. In the case of **10d**, the anhydride and MDA were dissolved in THF and the solvent evaporated; NMR analysis revealed the presence of bisimide 12d. Heating to 204 °C resulted in a 34% weight loss, accompanied by the disappearance of the distinctive trimethylsilyloxy peak in the NMR of the residual solid. The NMR and HRMS data strongly suggest that the product obtained is the 3-hydroxybisimide 12g.

**11a.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  6.96 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, H<sub>11</sub>), 6.62 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H,  $H_{10}$ ), 3.88 (s, 2H,  $H_{13}$ ). HRMS (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>): calcd (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, M<sup>+</sup>) 332.1525; obsd 332.1527.

**12a.** TMA:  $T_{\rm m} = 206.19$  °C (confirmed by DSC). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.255 and 7.155 (AA'BB'm, 8H,  $H_{10}$  and  $H_{11}$ ), 5.97  $(m, 4H, H_4 \text{ and } H_5), 4.01 \text{ (s, } 2H, H_{13}), 3.20 \text{ (m, } 4H, H_1 \text{ and } H_2),$ 2.70 (dm, 4H, H<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>6</sub>); 2.30 (dm, 4H, H<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>6</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  179.33 (C<sub>7</sub> and C<sub>8</sub>), 140.93 (C<sub>9</sub>), 130.28 (C<sub>12</sub>), 129.76  $(C_{11})$ , 127.91  $(C_4$  and  $C_5)$ , 126.65  $(C_{10})$ , 41.20  $(C_{13})$ , 39.32  $(C_1)$ and C<sub>2</sub>), 23.89 (C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>6</sub>). IR (KBr): 1780 and 1709 (imide C=O), 1625 (C=C), 1512 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Ar). HRMS (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>): calcd (C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, M<sup>+</sup>) 466.1893; obsd 466.1901.

**11b.** TMA  $T_{\rm m}=90.79~{\rm ^{\circ}C}$  (confirmed by DSC). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.36–7.14 (m, 9H, aryl), 7.03 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H,  $H_{11}$ ), 6.46 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H,  $H_{10}$ ), 6.14 (m, 2H,  $H_4$  and  $H_5$ ), 3.94 (m, 1H, H<sub>3</sub>), 3.85 (s, 2H, H<sub>13</sub>), 3.46 (dd, J = 9 and 6 Hz, 1H, H<sub>2</sub>), 3.345 (td, J = 9 and 2 Hz, 1H, H<sub>1</sub>), 2.99 (dm, J = 14Hz, 1H, H<sub>6</sub>), 2.565 (ddm, J = 14 and 9 Hz, 1H, H<sub>6</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  178.83 (C<sub>7</sub>), 176.80 (C<sub>8</sub>), 141.76 (C<sub>1050</sub>), 140.71 (C<sub>9</sub>), 140.50 (C<sub>9</sub>), 138.31 (( $C_{12'}$  and  $C_{12}$ ), 129.60 ( $C_{11'}$ ), 129.45 ( $C_{11}$ ), 128.98 ( $C_o$ ), 128.68 ( $C_m$ ), 127.69 ( $C_4$ ), 127.63 ( $C_p$ ), 127.04 ( $C_5$ ), 126.48 ( $C_{10}$ ), 126.42 ( $C_{10}$ ), 44.72 ( $C_{2}$ ), 41.14 ( $C_{13}$ ), 40.92 ( $C_{3}$ ), 37.90 (C<sub>1</sub>) 21.69 (C<sub>6</sub>). IR (KBr): 3471 and 3358 (NH<sub>2</sub>), 1779 and 1711 (imide C=O), 1600 (C=C), 1513 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Ar). HRMS (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>): calcd ( $C_{27}H_{24}N_2O_2$ , M<sup>+</sup>) 408.1838; obsd 408.1827.

**12b.** DSC:  $T_{\rm m} = 87.1$  °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta 7.39 - 7.17$ (m, 18H, aryl), 6.125 (m, 4H,  $H_4$  and  $H_5$ ), 4.13 (bs, 2H,  $H_{13}$ ), 4.03 (s, 2H,  $H_3$ ), 3.42 (dd, J = 8 and 3 Hz, 2H,  $H_2$ ), 3.245 (ddd, J = 10, 8, and 2 Hz, 2H, H<sub>1</sub>), 2.55 (m, 4H, H<sub>6</sub> and H<sub>6</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  179.17 (C<sub>7</sub>), 178.31 (C<sub>8</sub>), 141.76 (C<sub>ipso</sub>), 140.09  $(C_9)$ , 130.54  $(C_4)$ , 130.25  $(C_{12})$ , 129.82  $(C_0)$ , 128.95  $(C_{11})$ , 127.63  $(C_5)$ , 127.53  $(C_m)$ , 126.95  $(C_p)$ , 126.52  $(C_{10})$ , 46.79  $(C_2)$ , 41.23 (C<sub>13</sub>), 40.06 (C<sub>3</sub>), 38.67 (C<sub>1</sub>) 23.79 (C<sub>6</sub>). IR (KBr): 1779 and 1711 (imide C=O), 1600, 1513 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Ar). HRMS (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>): calcd (C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>34</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, M<sup>+</sup>) 618.2519; obsd 618.2538.

**11c.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 6.98 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, H<sub>11</sub>), 6.62 (d, J = 9 Hz, 2H, H<sub>10</sub>). HRMS (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>): calcd (C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, M+) 362.1630; obsd 362.1611.

**12c.** TMA:  $T_{\rm m} = 75.1$  °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.27 (AA'BB'm, 4H, H<sub>11</sub>), 7.19 (AA'BB'm, 4H, H<sub>10</sub>), 6.17 (m, 4H, H<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>5</sub>), 4.31 (m, 2H, H<sub>3</sub>), 4.03 (s, 2H, H<sub>13</sub>), 3.28 (s, 6H, OMe), 3.165 (dd, J = 14 and 8 Hz, 2H,  $H_2$ ), 3.15 (m, 2H,  $H_1$ ), 2.665(m, 4H, H<sub>6</sub> and H<sub>6</sub>').  $^{13}C$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  179.33 (C<sub>7</sub>), 176.40  $(C_8),\, 140.93\; (C_9),\, 132.23\; (C_4),\, 130.47\; (C_{12}),\, 129.82\; (C_{11}),\, 127.84$ (C<sub>5</sub>), 126.76 (C<sub>10</sub>), 71.73 (C<sub>3</sub>), 56.70 (OMe), 45.31 (C<sub>2</sub>), 41.29 (C<sub>13</sub>), 36.76 (C<sub>1</sub>) 22.28 (C<sub>6</sub>). IR (KBr): 1779 and 1711 (imide C=O), 1611 (C=C), 1513 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Ar). HRMS (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>): calcd  $(C_{29}H_{18}N_2O_4, M^+-2CH_3OH-2H_2)$  458.1267; obsd 458.1260.

**12d.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.19 (m, 4H, H<sub>11</sub>), 6.90 (m, 4H,  $H_{10}$ ), 5.90 (m, 4H,  $H_4$  and  $H_5$ ), 4.56 (m, 2H,  $H_3$ ), 3.71 (s, 2H,  $H_{13}$ ), 3.185 (td, J = 10 and 5 Hz, 1H,  $H_1$ ), 2.955 (dd, J = 10and 4 Hz, 1H,  $H_2$ ), 2.59 (m, 1H,  $H_6$ ), 2.44 (m, 1H,  $H_{6'}$ ), -0.09(s, 9, Me<sub>3</sub>Si).

Table 1. Product Distribution in the Air Thermolysis of a 2:1 Mixture of Anhydrides 10a-e and Methylenedianiline (11: Monoimide; 12: Bisimide; 13: Cross-Linked Structure; 14: Half-Aromatized Product; 15: Fully Aromatized Product)

anhydride 10	204 °C (1 h)	315 °C (30 min)	343 °C (50 min)	371 °C (30 min)
<b>a</b> (3-H)	<b>11a</b> (2%), <b>12a</b> (98%)	11a (0.5%), 12a (98%),	11a (-), 12a (35%), 13a	11a (-), 12a (11%), 13a
<b>b</b> (3-Ph)	<b>11b</b> (67%), <b>12b</b> (33%)	13a (1%), 14a (-), 15a (0.5%) 11b (16%), 12b (38%), 13b (-), 14b (14%),	(35%), <b>14a</b> (29%), <b>15a</b> (1%) <b>11b</b> (7%), <b>12b</b> (12%), <b>13b</b> (6%), <b>14b</b> (35%), <b>15b</b> (32%),	(40%), <b>14a</b> (37%), <b>15a</b> (12%) <b>11b</b> (-), <b>12b</b> (-), <b>13b</b> (11%), <b>14b</b> (21%), <b>15b</b> (56%),
<b>c</b> (3-OMe)	<b>11c</b> (<1%), <b>12c</b> (>95%),	<b>15b</b> (32%) <b>12a</b> (12%), <b>13a</b> (17%),	<b>21</b> (8%) <b>12a</b> (-), <b>13a</b> (19%),	<b>21</b> (12%) <b>12a</b> (-), <b>13a</b> (19%),
c (3-Olvie)	15a (5%)	14a (47%), 15a (17%),	14a (47%), 15a (34%)	14a (33%), 15a (49%)
<b>d</b> (3-OSiMe <sub>3</sub> )	<b>12g</b> (61%), <b>13a</b> (4%), <b>14a</b> (35%)	<b>12g</b> (-), <b>13a</b> (35%), <b>14a</b> (13%), <b>15a</b> (52%)	_a	_a
<b>e</b> (4-Me)	<b>11e</b> (7%), <b>12e</b> (93%)	11e (6%), 12e (85%), 13e (-), 14e (-), 15e (9%)	<b>11e</b> (-), <b>12e</b> (34%), <b>13e</b> (28%), <b>14e</b> (15%), <b>15e</b> (23%)	<b>11e</b> (-), <b>12e</b> (4%), <b>13e</b> (31%), <b>14e</b> (14%), <b>15e</b> (51%)
<b>f</b> (3,6-diPh)	<b>11f</b> (75%), <b>12f</b> (25%)	11f (2%), 12f' (88%), 13f (-),15f (10%)	11f (-), 12f' (2%), 13f (-), 15f (86%), 21 (12%)	11f (-), 12f' (-), 13f (-), 15f (85%), 21 (15%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> This stage was skipped because all bisimide **12** had already reacted.

Table 2. Product Distribution (%) in the Thermolysis of 12a in Air and Inert Atmosphere at 343 °C for 3 h

		•	-	
	12a	13a	14a	15a
conditions	cyclohexene bisimide	cross-linked	half- aromatized	fully aromatized
under air	0	42	40	18
in inert atmosphere	7	77	16	

**11e.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  6.96 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, H<sub>11</sub>), 6.62 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H, H<sub>10</sub>), 3.88 (s, 2H, H<sub>13</sub>). HRMS (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>): calcd (C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, M<sup>+</sup>) 346.1681; obsd 346.1679.

**12e.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.25 (AA′BB′m, 4H, H<sub>11</sub>), and 7.14 (AA′BB′m, 4H, H<sub>10</sub>), 5.61 (m, 2H, H<sub>5</sub>), 4.01 (s, 2H, H<sub>13</sub>), 3.22 (m, 4H, H<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>1</sub>), 2.62 (m, 2H, H<sub>6</sub> or H<sub>6</sub>), 2.28 (m, 4H, H<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>6</sub> or H<sub>6</sub>), 1.76 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  179.45 (C<sub>7</sub>), 179.20 (C<sub>8</sub>), 140.83 (C<sub>9</sub>), 136.57 (C<sub>4</sub>), 130.21 (C<sub>11</sub>), 129.66 (C<sub>10</sub>), 126.42 (C<sub>12</sub>), 120.15 (C<sub>5</sub>), 41.13 (C<sub>13</sub>), 39.68 (C<sub>2</sub>), 39.25 (C<sub>1</sub>), 28.91 (C<sub>3</sub>), 24.53 (C<sub>6</sub>), 23.51 (CH<sub>3</sub>). IR (KBr): 1779 and 1708 (imide C=O), 1611 (C=C), 1512 (Ar) cm<sup>-1</sup>. HRMS (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>): calcd (C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, M<sup>+</sup>) 494.2206; obsd 494.2182.

**11f.**<sup>16</sup> TMA  $T_{\rm m}=131.09$  °C (confirmed by DSC). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.48–7.20 (m, 14H, aryl), 7.044 (d, J= 8.4 Hz, 2H, H<sub>11'</sub>), 6.860 (d, J= 8.4 Hz, 2H, H<sub>10</sub>), 6.496 (s, 2H, H<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>5</sub>), 3.882 (bd, J= 4.9 Hz, 2H, H<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>6</sub>), 3.849 (s, 2H, H<sub>13</sub>), 3.612 (dd, J= 4.9 and 2.2 Hz, 2H, H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  174.89 (C<sub>7</sub> and C<sub>8</sub>), 143.49 (C<sub>ipso</sub>), 140.50 (C<sub>9'</sub>), 139.10 (C<sub>12'</sub> and C<sub>12</sub>), 137.42 (C<sub>9</sub>), 131.27 (C<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>5</sub>), 129.38 (C<sub>11'</sub>), 128.89 (C<sub>o</sub>), 128.81 (C<sub>11</sub>), 128.32 (C<sub>m</sub>), 127.99 (C<sub>p</sub>), 127.13 (C<sub>10</sub>), 126.20 (C<sub>10</sub>), 46.41 (C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>), 41.60 (C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>6</sub>), 40.87 (C<sub>13</sub>). IR (KBr): 3414 and 3379 (NH<sub>2</sub>), 1782 and 1714 (imide C=O), 1682 (C=C), 1597, 1513 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Ar). HRMS (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>): calcd (C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, M<sup>+</sup>) 484.2151; obsd 484.2160.

**12f.** TMA  $T_{\rm m}=117.87$  °C (confirmed by DSC). ¹H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.48–7.10 (m, 28H, aryl), 6.029 (s, 4H, H<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>5</sub>), 4.012 (s, 2H, H<sub>13</sub>), 3.882 (bd, J= 4.0 Hz, 4H, H<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>6</sub>), 3.202 (dd, J= 4.0 and 1.6 Hz, 4H, H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>). ¹³C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  176.77 (C<sub>7</sub> and C<sub>8</sub>), 143.42 (C<sub>ipso</sub>), 140.68 (C<sub>9</sub>), 129.98 (C<sub>12</sub>), 129.85 (C<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>5</sub>), 129.62 (C<sub>11</sub>), 128. 80 (C<sub>o</sub>), 127.98 (C<sub>m</sub>), 127.09 (C<sub>p</sub>), 126.16 (C<sub>10</sub>), 45.98 (C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>), 41.02 (C<sub>13</sub>), 39.75 (C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>6</sub>). IR (KBr): 3414 and 3379 (NH<sub>2</sub>), 1782 and 1714 (imide C=O), 1682 (C=C), 1597, 1513 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Ar). HRMS (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>): calcd (C<sub>53</sub>H<sub>42</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, M<sup>+</sup>) 770.3145; obsd 770.3135.

**12g.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.3–7.1 (m, 8H, H<sub>10</sub> and H<sub>11</sub>), 6.12 (m, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 5.94 (m, 2H, H<sub>5</sub>), 4.53 (m, 2H, H<sub>3</sub>), 4.01 (s, 2H, H<sub>13</sub>), 3.92 (bs, 1H, OH), 3.45 (dd, J = 10 and 6 Hz, 2H, H<sub>2</sub>), 3.30 (m, 2H, H<sub>1</sub>), 2.60 (m, 4H, H<sub>6</sub> and H<sub>6</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  178.90 (C<sub>7</sub> and C<sub>8</sub>), 141.30 (C<sub>9</sub>), 134.60 (C<sub>4</sub>), 131.11 (C<sub>12</sub>), 129.91 (C<sub>11</sub>), 126.89 (C<sub>5</sub>), 126.61 (C<sub>10</sub>), 66.36 (C<sub>3</sub>), 44.53 (C<sub>2</sub>), 41.29 (C<sub>13</sub>), 38.24 (C<sub>1</sub>) 23.88 (C<sub>6</sub>). IR (KBr): 3467 (OH), 1774 and 1708 (imide C=O), 1612 (C=C), 1512 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Ar). HRMS (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>): calcd (C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>, M<sup>+</sup>) 498.1791; obsd 498.1758.

**15a.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.96 (AA′BB′m, 4H, H<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>6</sub>) and 7.79 (AA′BB′m 4H, H<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>5</sub>), 7.37 (s, 8H, H<sub>10</sub> and H<sub>11</sub>), 4.10 (s, 2H, H<sub>13</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  167.28 (C<sub>7</sub> and C<sub>8</sub>), 140.46 (C<sub>9</sub>), 134.32 (C<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>5</sub>), 131.79 (C<sub>12</sub>), 129.87 (C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>), 129.72 (C<sub>11</sub>), 126.60 (C<sub>10</sub>), 123.70 (C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>6</sub>), 41.20 (C<sub>13</sub>).

IR (KBr): 1777 and 1718 (imide C=O), 1511 cm $^{-1}$  (Ar). HRMS (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>): calcd ( $C_{29}H_{18}N_2O_4$ ,  $M^+$ ) 458.1267; obsd 458.1264.

**17a.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.005 (AA'BB'm, 4H, H<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>6</sub>), 8.003 (d with hyperfine AA'XX' splitting, J=8 Hz, 4H, H<sub>11</sub>), 7.837 (AA'BB'm, 4H, H<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>5</sub>), 7.673 (d with hyperfine AA'XX' splitting, J=8 Hz, 4H, H<sub>10</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  194.70 (C<sub>13</sub>), 166.95 (C<sub>7</sub> and C<sub>8</sub>), 136.62 (C<sub>9</sub>), 135.71 (C<sub>12</sub>), 134.85 (C<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>5</sub>), 131.73 (C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>), 130.99 (C<sub>11</sub>), 126.11 (C<sub>10</sub>), 124.11 (C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>6</sub>). IR (KBr): 1783 and 1712 (imide C=O), 1733 (C=O), 1513 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Ar). HRMS (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>): calcd (C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, M<sup>+</sup>) 472.1059; obsd 472.1074.

**21.** TMA:  $T_{\rm m}=90.19$  °C (confirmed by DSC). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.285 and 7.199 (ABq with additional hyperfine AA'BB' splitting, J=8.4 Hz, 4H each, H<sub>6</sub> and H<sub>5</sub> respectively), 4.024 (s, 2H, H<sub>8</sub>), 2.859 (s, 8H, H<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  176.24 (C<sub>2</sub>), 140.90 (C<sub>7</sub>), 130.02 (C<sub>4</sub>), 129.76 (C<sub>6</sub>), 126.48 (C<sub>5</sub>), 40.07 (C<sub>8</sub>), 28.34 (C<sub>3</sub>). IR (KBr): 1776 and 1706 (imide C=O), 1512 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Ar). HRMS (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>): calcd (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, M<sup>+</sup>) 362.1267; obsd 362.1300.

Thermolysis of Bisimides 12. A 2:1 mixture of anhydride 10 and MDA was heated for 1 h at 204 °C (400 °F; generally yielding cyclohexene bisimides 12, as described above), then at 315 °C (600 °F) for 30 min, at 340 °C (650 °F) for 50 min, and finally at 371 °C (700 °F) for 30 min. After each step, the reaction product was cooled, weighed, and ground to a powder, and a sample was removed for spectroscopic analysis. Product yields for thermolyses carried out in air and in inert atmosphere (after deoxygenation via five vacuum/argon cycles) are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. In air, both the cross-linking of 12 to 13, and its aromatization to 15, were observed. The cross-linking can be readily detected by the appearance of aliphatic multiplets in region of 1.5-2.5 ppm. On the other hand, the phthalimides formed on aromatization have a pair of distinctive AA'BB' multiplets located downfield in the region 7.6-8.0 ppm and separated by ca. 0.2 ppm. The HRMS data confirm the formation of half (14) and fully (15) aromatized imides. In the case of the thermolysis of 12c, only **13a**, **14a**, and **15a** were observed. For **12d**, **12g** was also detected, in addition to  ${\bf 13a}$ ,  ${\bf 14a}$ , and  ${\bf 15a}$  (see  $\bar{{\bf Table}}$  1). In the case of the analogues 12e, 13e, and 15e, the respective vinyl, alkyl, and aromatic methyls are easily discernible at 1.76, ca. 0.95 (vide infra), and 2.54 ppm. What is more, as expected, there are three methyl groups observed for the crosslinked 13e, each corresponding to one of the following isomers: head-to-head, head-to-tail, and tail-to-tail.

**13a.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.02 (s, 2H, H<sub>13</sub>).

**14a**.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.05 (s, 2H, H<sub>13</sub>). HRMS (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>): calcd (C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, M<sup>+</sup>) 462.1580; obsd 462.1557.

**15a.** As above.

**13b.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  3.89 (s, 2H, H<sub>13</sub>).

**14b.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.00 (s, 2H, H<sub>13</sub>); parent peak not observed in HRMS.

**15b.**<sup>17</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.95 (dd, J=4 and 1 Hz, 2H,  $H_6$ ), 7.80 (t, J = 4 Hz, 2H,  $H_5$ ), 7.70 (dd, J = 4 and 1 Hz, 2H, H<sub>4</sub>), 7.62-7.52 (m, 4H, pendant Ar), 7.52-7.38 (m, 6H, pendant Ar), 7.38-7.10 (m, 8H, Ar), 4.03 (s, 2H, H<sub>13</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  166.91 (C<sub>7</sub>), 166.81 (C<sub>8</sub>), 141.42 (C<sub>3</sub>), 140.37 (*ipso*), 140.14 (C<sub>2</sub>), 136.35 (C<sub>4</sub>), 135.97 (C<sub>12</sub>), 134.08 (C<sub>5</sub>), 132.84 (C<sub>9</sub>),  $129.53 (C_{11}), 129.39 (C_o), 128.76 (C_p), 128.02 (C_m), 126.98 (C_1),$ 126.62 (C<sub>10</sub>), 122.79 (C<sub>6</sub>), 41.03 (C<sub>13</sub>). HRMS (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>): calcd (C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, M<sup>+</sup>) 610.1893; obsd 610.1840.

**13e.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  0.99, 0.96, and 0.93 (each s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.02 (s, 2H, H<sub>13</sub>).

**14e**.  ${}^{1}$ H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  4.08 (s, 2H, H<sub>13</sub>). HRMS (DCI,  $CH_4$ ): calcd ( $C_{31}H_{26}N_2O_4$ ,  $M^+$ ) 490.1893; obsd 490.1888.

**15e**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.82 (d, J = 4 Hz, 2H, H<sub>6</sub>), 7.74 (s, 2H, H<sub>3</sub>), 7.70 (d, J = 4 Hz, 2H, H<sub>5</sub>), 4.05 (s, 2H, H<sub>13</sub>), 2.54 (s, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub>). HRMS (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>): calcd (C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, M<sup>+</sup>) 486.1580; obsd 486.1521.

**15f.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.712 (s, 4H, H<sub>6</sub>), 7.63–7.55 (m, 8H, pendant Ar), 7.50-7.40 (m, 12H, pendant Ar), 7.40-7.00 (m,  $\hat{8}H$ , Ar), 4.02 (s, 2H,  $H_{13}$ ). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  166.91 (C<sub>7</sub> and C<sub>8</sub>), 141.42 (C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>6</sub>), 140.34 (*ipso*), 140.31 (C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>), 140.01 (C<sub>9</sub>), 136.33 (C<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>5</sub>), 136.18 (C<sub>12</sub>), 129.46 (C<sub>0</sub>), 128.71 (C<sub>p</sub>), 128.71 (C<sub>11</sub>), 128.02 (C<sub>m</sub>), 126.72 (C<sub>10</sub>), 41.03 (C<sub>13</sub>). HRMS (DCI, CH<sub>4</sub>): calcd (C<sub>53</sub>H<sub>34</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, M<sup>+</sup>) 762.2519; obsd 762.2534.

#### **Results and Discussion**

We have synthesized several substituted tetrahydrophthalic anhydrides for possible use as end caps<sup>18</sup> including the 3-phenyl (10b), 3-methoxy (10c), 3-trimethylsilyloxy (10d), and 3,6-diphenyl (10f) analogues via the Diels-Alder addition of the corresponding butadienes 8 and maleic anhydride 9 (eq 1). The butadienes are commercially available, with the exception of trans-1-phenylbutadiene, which was prepared according to the procedure of Grummit and Becker. 14 As shown in eq 1, when substituents R and R" lie trans to the diene moiety in 8, they end up in 10 (and the corresponding imides 11 and 12) aligned predominantly, if not exclusively, cis to the anhydride (or imide) moiety. The hydrogens at C<sub>3</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>1</sub>, and C<sub>6</sub> are aligned cis, cis, cis to each other. This is a result of the preferred endo addition in Diels-Alder reactions. 13,19 Two additional tetrahydrophthalic anhydrides, the 3-hydro (10a, Aldrich) and 4-methyl (10e, TCP-EP) analogues, are commercially available.

Anhydrides **10** and methylenedianiline in a 2:1 ratio were then ground up together and heated at 204 °C (400 °F) for 1 h. As a rule and as determined by NMR, the primary product at this stage was bisimide 12, which was accompanied by a small amount (<2%) of the intermediate monoimide 11 (eq 1). The spectrum of the latter is quite distinctive because of the AA'XX' pattern of the H-10' and H-11' aromatic protons at ca. 6.6 and 7.0 ppm, respectively. Three notable exceptions to the




Figure 3. Weight loss on cure and thermolysis of bisimides 12 formed from 1:2 mixtures of MDA and anhydrides 10. Imidization only accounts for between 4 and 7% of the weight loss observed.

above rule were the 3-phenyl (10b), 3,6-diphenyl (10f), and 3-silyloxy (10d) derivatives. In the case of 10b and 10f, the ratio of monoimide:bisimide (11:12) was 2:1 and 3:1, respectively, with bisimidization presumably slowed by steric hindrance. The bisimides 12b and 12f were the primary product when the reaction mixture was heated further to 315 °C (see Table 1). Noteworthy is the fact that while the vinyl and bridgehead hydrogens in 3,3-diphenyl anhydride (10f) and monoimide (11f) resonate at ca. 6.5 and 3.7 ppm, respectively, they are observed ca. 0.5 ppm upfield at 6.05 and 3.20 ppm in the corresponding bisimide 12f. We believe that this corresponds to a conversion of the highly congested *cis*, cis, cis 12f (with the phenyls and anhydride rings on the same face) to the less hindered trans, cis, trans isomer 12f' (eq 2); this isomerization will, in turn, affect the diamagnetic anisotropy felt by the aforementioned hydrogens.<sup>20</sup> Facile high-temperature (315 °C) enolization presumably mediates this isomerization.

In the case of the 3-silyloxy derivative 10d, heating at 204 °C resulted in a 34% weight loss (see Figure 3), accompanied by the disappearance of the distinctive trimethylsilyloxy peak in the NMR of the residual solid. The NMR and HRMS data strongly indicate that the product obtained is the 3-hydroxybisimide 12g. DSC and TGA/MS/IR studies suggest that imidization and hydrolysis occur in tandem at ca. 155 °C, accompanied by the formation and loss of hexamethyldisiloxane (commercially available from Aldrich). Theoretical weight loss for both water due to imidization and hexamethyldisiloxane is 28%. Additional weight loss is due to aromatization of some 35% of the 3-hydroxybisimide 12g to half-aromatized product 14.

The polyimide model compounds (n = 0) were heated gradually to 370 °C and the thermal-oxidative transformations followed by NMR. Product yields are summarized in Table 1. Figure 3 presents the weight loss data. Particularly noteworthy is the observation that the tetrahydrophthalic end caps not only experience the expected cross-linking yielding 13, but aromatize as well to the phthalic analogue 15 (eq 3).

$$\begin{array}{c} R \\ R \\ R \\ O \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} R \\ D \\ CH_2 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} R \\ R \\ D \\ R \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} R \\ D \\ R \\ D \\ CH_2 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} R \\ R \\ D \\ R \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} R \\ D \\ D \\ CH_2 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} R \\ R \\ D \\ CH_2 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} R \\ R \\ D \\ R \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} R \\ D \\ CH_2 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} R \\ R \\ D \\ R \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} R \\ C \\ R \\ D \\ CH_2 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} R \\ C \\ R \\ D \\ CH_2 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} R \\ C \\ CH_2 \\ CH_2 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} R \\ C \\ CH_2 \\ CH_2 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} R \\ C \\ CH_2 \\ CH_2 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} R \\ C \\ CH_2 \\ CH_2 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} R \\ CH_2 \\ CH_2 \\ CH_2 \\ CH_2 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} R \\ CH_2 \\ CH_2$$

The cross-linking can be readily detected by the appearance of multiplets in the region of 1.5-2.2 ppm. (Interestingly, Table 1 reveals that cross-linking begins at temperatures substantially lower than the 415 °C indicated by St. Clair and St. Clair. <sup>10</sup>) On the other hand, the phthalimides formed on aromatization have a pair of distinctive AA'BB' multiplets located downfield in the region 7.6-8.0 ppm and separated by ca. 0.2 ppm. An authentic sample of 15a was prepared, as above, by grinding together a 2:1 ratio of phthalic anhydride and methylenedianiline (16a) and then heating the mixture at 204 °C for 1 h (eq 4). (For the purpose of comparison, the phthalic bisimide of diaminobenzophenone (17) was similarly prepared and found to be absent from the product mixture.)

$$0 + H_2N - CR_2 - NH_2 \rightarrow \begin{cases} 4 & 3 & 2 & 8 \\ 5 & 1 & 2 & 8 \\ 0 & 12 & 2 & 2 \\ 16, a: R = H & 15: R = H \\ b: R, R = O & 17: R, R = O \end{cases}$$
(4)

In the case of the 4-methyl analogues **12e**, **13e**, and **15e**, the respective vinyl, alkyl, and aromatic methyls are easily discernible at 1.76, ca. 0.96 (vide infra), and 2.54 ppm. What is more, for the cross-linked **13e**, there are *three* methyl groups observed (at 0.99, 0.96, and 0.93 ppm in a ratio of 3:6:2), presumably<sup>21</sup> corresponding to head-to-head, head-to-tail, and tail-to-tail isomers (eq 5).

It is known that the central C-13 methylene group of MDA is amazingly sensitive to the nature of the substituents attached to the amines on either side.<sup>22</sup> Thus, the chemical shift of the methylene in tetrahydrophthalimide **12** (4.01 ppm for **12a**) is different from bisphthalimide **15** (4.10 ppm for **15a**). However, we were surprised to see on heating not *two* methylene absorp-

tions at ca. 4 ppm but *three*! Since this third peak increases initially and then decreases, we believe it corresponds to the initially formed nonsymmetrical half-aromatized bisimide **14** (appearing at 4.05 ppm for **14a**; eq 2). Indeed, HRMS data confirm the presence of **14** in the thermolysis product mixtures (see Experimental Section). We additionally believe that the methylene for the cross-linked product **13** appears very close (ca. 0.01 ppm downfield at 4.02 ppm for **13a**) to the chemical shift of **12**. Indeed, during the thermal treatment, the methylene peak of **12** broadens and shifts ca. 0.01 ppm downfield with the concomitant decrease in the olefinic hydrogens at ca. 6 ppm.

Integration of the various peaks at ca. 4 ppm was used to calculate the product distribution appearing in Table 1. These calculations were confirmed by use of the other distinctive resonances (olefinic, phthalic, etc.) mentioned above. It must be noted, however, that there may well be some small amount of aromatic product formed at elevated temperatures arising from already cross-linked structures which are expected to appear under the broad aromatic multiplet appearing between 7.4 and 7.2 ppm. As noted in the Introduction, this is actually the desired scenario, where cross-linking occurs through the double bond of the end cap, followed by preferentially path B degradation on further aging. We simply cannot distinguish in the peaks at ca. 4 ppm between cross-linked aromatic structures and 15.

Such oxidative aromatizations are precedented.<sup>23</sup> In the case of **12a**, **12b**, and **12e**, this presumably involves the free radical hydroperoxidation of the cylohexene ring at the allylic positions followed by elimination of  $H_2O_2$  (eq 6).

When R is an oxy linkage, as in the 3-methoxy analogue **12c** and the 3-hydroxy analogue **12g** (obtained from trimethylsiloxy **10d**), aromatization is accompanied and presumably initiated by elimination of the ether substituent, ultimately yielding **15a** (eq 7). Theoretical weight loss for loss of the methoxy groups is approximately 12%. [As noted previously, the silyl ether **12d** is first hydrolyzed at ca. 155 °C to the hydroxy group (i.e., to **12g**), which upon further heating is converted to **13a** and **15a**.]

Consistent with the difference in the mechanism is the observation that aromatization is not observed until 315 °C in the case of **12a**, **12b**, **12e**, and **12f**, but


aromatic products are observed even at 204 °C for 12c and 12g. The elimination of the methoxy group in heating the 12c to 315 °C is reflected in the relatively large weight loss (12%; see Figure 3) observed at this stage.

In the thermolysis of the phenylated bisimides 12b and 12f, aromatization at 343 °C is accompanied by substantial weight loss and the formation of bissuccinimide 21 (Table 1 and Figure 3 and eq 8). An authentic sample of 21 was prepared, as above, by grinding together a 2:1 ratio of succinic anhydride and methylenedianiline (16a) and then heating the mixture at 204 °C for 1 h. The bissuccinimide 21 is readily identified in the reaction mixture by the succinic ring C<sub>3</sub> methylene which appears as a singlet at 2.86 ppm (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) and at 28.34 ppm (<sup>13</sup>C NMR). In addition, the nonconjugated imide carbonyl at C2 appears at 176.24 ppm  $(^{13}C)$ . We attribute the formation of **21** to a retro-Diels-Alder reaction in which the resulting butadienes 8b or 8f are volatilized at the elevated temperature.24 The concomitantly formed bismaleimide 20 is presumably reduced by bisimide 12, which is undergoing aromatization at the same time to 15.

Figure 4 graphs the effect of temperature on the amount of cross-linking and the degree of aromatization (defined as the percent of fully aromatized product plus half the percent of half-aromatized product) in the air thermolysis of the various derivatives of 12. This figure highlights several interesting observations.

Outstanding is the rapid rate of aromatization and cross-linking observed for the trimethylsilyloxy case 10d; indeed, by 315 °C, no unreacted bisimide 12 remains. As discussed above, by 200 °C in this system, the bisimide 12d has been converted to the hydroxy analogue 12g, and it is in fact the high reactivity of the latter that is noteworthy.

Comparing the parent tetrahydrophthalic bisimide 12a with that of the 4-methyl analogue 12e, we find






Figure 4. Percent cross-linking (above) and degree of aromatization (below) in the air thermolysis of substituted bisimides 12 formed from 1:2 mixtures of MDA and 1,2,3,6tetrahydrophthalic anhydrides 10a-f.

that substitution on the double bond in the latter case slows cross-linking slightly and somewhat favors aromatization, beginning even as low as 315 °C. We note as well that higher temperatures favor aromatization. The data in Table 1 reveal that in the case of 12a at 343 °C the ratio of cross-linking to degree of aromatization [13a:(14/2 + 15)] is ca. 2:1; however, at 371 °C this drops to 4:3. In the case of **12e**, this ratio drops from 1:1 to 1:2.

Turning now to the phenylated analogues, we observe that cross-linking is partially inhibited in the case of the 3-phenylated **12b** and totally inhibited in the 3.6diphenyl analogue **12f**. Presumably, the cause is steric in nature. In the case of 12b, we again see a rise in the rate of aromatization as we go from 343 to 371 °C. For 12f, with cross-linking inhibited, aromatization is essentially complete by 343 °C.

We believe that it is this substantial percentage of aromatic product, with the concomitant lowering of the relative amount of cross-linking, which is responsible for both the improved TOS of tetrahydrophthalic endcapped polyimides and their substantial frangibility. 9,10

If the mechanism for aromatization is an oxidative one, then thermolysis of the tetrahydrophthalimides 12 under inert atmosphere should lower the amount of aromatization dramatically. This is indeed the case, as shown in Table 2. Two samples (0.5 g) of powdered 12a were thermolyzed at 343 °C for 3 h: prior to thermolysis, one of the samples was sealed under vacuum in a sealed tube after deoxygenating the powder via five vacuum/argon cycles; the second sample was left open to air. In the case of the sample in inert atmosphere, aromatization was substantially inhibited; thus, the degree of aromatization dropped from 38% in air down to 8%. At the same time, the ratio cross-linking to the degree of aromatization rose from ca. 1:1 in air down to almost 10:1. It should be noted that despite the exclusion of oxygen, some residual aromatization is, nevertheless observed; it presumably results from radical-induced disproportionation.

We are currently investigating the thermal-oxidative stability of either tetrahydrophthalic end-capped polyimides processed under an inert atmosphere or those in which aromatization is inhibited by the substitution pattern on the end cap.

**Acknowledgment.** We thank Daniel Scheiman of QSS, Inc., for performing thermal analysis and FT-IR and Linda Ingraham of the Ohio Aerospace Institute for assistance with thermolysis runs. We also thank the High Operating Temperature Propulsion Components (HOT\_PC) Program at NASA Glenn for their kind support.

### **References and Notes**

- Serafini, T. T.; Delvigs, P.; Lightsey, G. R. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 1972, 16, 905.
- (2) Meador, M. A. B.; Johnston, J. C.; Cavano, P. J. Macromolecules 1997, 30, 515–19.
- (3) See: Meador, M. A. Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci. 1998, 28, 599–630.
- (4) Meador, M. A. B.; Lowell, C. E.; Cavano, P. J.; Herrera-Fierro, P. High Perform. Polym. 1996, 8, 363-379.
- (5) Meador, M. A. B.; Johnston, J. C.; Cavano, P. J.; Frimer, A. A. Macromolecules 1997, 30, 3215–3223.
- (6) Meador, M. A. B.; Johnston, J. C.; Cavano, P. J.; Frimer, A. A.; Gilinsky-Sharon, P. Macromolecules 1999, 32, 5532–5538.
- (7) Meador, M. A. B.; Johnston, J. C.; Hardy-Green, D.; Frimer, A. A.; Gilinsky-Sharon, P.; Gottlieb, H. E. *Chem. Mater.* **2001**, 13, 2649–2655.
- (8) Meador, M. A. B.; Frimer, A. A. U.S. Patent Number 6,303, 744, Oct 16, 2001.
- (9) Alston, W. B. NASA Glenn Research Center, personal communication, Aug 16, 2002.
- (10) St. Clair, A. K.; St. Clair, T. L. Polym. Eng. Sci. 1982 22, 9-14.

- (11) Smissman, E. E.; Murray, R. J.; McChesney, J. D.; Houston, L. L.; Pazdernick, T. L. *J. Med. Chem.* **1976**, *19*, 148–153.
- (12) Mikhael, M. G.; Padias, A. B.; Hall, Jr., H. K. Macromolecules 1993, 26, 4100–4104.
- (13) Ballistreri, F. P.; Maccarone, E.; Perrini, G.; Tomaselli, G. A.; Torre, M. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1982, 273–277 and references therein.
- (14) Grummit, O.; Becker, E. I. Org. Synth. 1963, Collect. Vol. IV, 771.
- (15) Cameron, D. W.; Heisey, R. M. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1995**, *48*, 185–198 (compound **7** therein).
- (16) Similar NMR data have recently been reported for the tridecafluorohexylphenyl analogue. See the Supporting Information for compound 30 in: Werner, S.; Curran, D. P. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3293–3296.
- (17) The spectrum is very close to the reported <sup>1</sup>H NMR data for 3-phenylphthalic anhydride (4-phenylisobenzofuran-1,3-dione). See: Brown, R. F. C.; Choi, N.; Eastwood, N. C. *Aust. J. Chem.* **2000**, *53*, 161–166 (compound **13** therein).
- (18) Meador, M. A. B. U.S. Patent Number 6,274,699, Aug 14, 2001.
- (19) March, J. Advanced Organic Chemistry—Reactions, Mechanisms and Structure, 3rd ed.; Wiley: New York, 1985; p 748.
- (20) We thank Dr. Hugo E. Gottlieb (Magnetic Resonance Laboratory, Bar Ilan University) for this suggestion.
- (21) Based on Bio-Rad ChemWindow 6.5 <sup>1</sup>H NMR calculations.
- (22) Cf.: Milhourat-Hammadi, A.; Chayrigues, H.; Merienne, C.; Gaudemer, A. J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 1994, 32, 203–217.
- (23) (a) van Tamelen, E. E.; Hildahl, G. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 4405-4412. (b) Howe, R.; McQuillan, F. J. J. Chem. Soc. 1958, 1513-1518. (c) Crowshaw, K.; Newstead, R. C.; Roger, N. A. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1964, 5, 2307-2311-see Frimer, A. A. In The Chemistry of Enones, Part 2; Patai, S., Rappoport, Z., Eds.; Wiley: Chichester, 1989; pp 781-921, 837-838. (d) Howard, J. A.; Ingold, K. U. Can. J. Chem. 1967, 45, 785-792. (e) Buchi, G.; Pickenhagen, W.; Wuest, J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 4192-4193. (f) Hendry, D. G.; Schuetzle, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 7123-7127.
- (24) The boiling point of *trans*-1-phenyl-1,3-butadiene (**8b**) is reported  $^{14}$  to be 80 °C at 8 mm. This corresponds (nomograph) to a boiling point of ca. 220 °C at 760 mm. The boiling point of commercial (Aldrich) *trans,trans*-1,4-phenyl-1,3-butadiene (**8f**) is 350 °C. The TGA of the 2:1 mixture of **8f** and MDA heated to 204 °C shows a  $T_{\rm d}$  at 239 °C (corresponding to imidization) and 352 °C (corresponding to retro-Diels—Alder), with onset in both cases ca. 20 °C earlier. DSC confirms these transitions.

MA0353078